



US Military Disinfo Campaign

1
00:00:09,650 --> 00:00:04,579
okay what about the fact that the Navy

2
00:00:13,070 --> 00:00:09,660
itself has video of something that

3
00:00:15,709 --> 00:00:13,080
appears to be what is being described in

4
00:00:19,040 --> 00:00:15,719
this patent and this this video goes

5
00:00:22,010 --> 00:00:19,050
back to 2004 it's my understanding that

6
00:00:23,870 --> 00:00:22,020
you have to file a patent within X

7
00:00:25,580 --> 00:00:23,880
period of time I think it's a year you

8
00:00:33,080 --> 00:00:25,590
can correct me within a year of

9
00:00:38,270 --> 00:00:33,090
discovery that's more or less right with

10
00:00:41,869 --> 00:00:38,280
certain qualifications really that if

11
00:00:44,209 --> 00:00:41,879
you do certain activities if you publish

12
00:00:47,119 --> 00:00:44,219
it if you offer it for sale

13
00:00:51,260 --> 00:00:47,129

etc then you have a year period to get

14

00:00:53,510 --> 00:00:51,270

the patent if you make it you see it

15

00:00:56,720 --> 00:00:53,520

viable and don't do any kind of

16

00:00:58,610 --> 00:00:56,730

commercial activity with it arguably you

17

00:01:00,950 --> 00:00:58,620

could wait longer to file your patents

18

00:01:02,689 --> 00:01:00,960

as long as you don't run any of the art

19

00:01:05,750 --> 00:01:02,699

ordering things like publication or

20

00:01:08,109 --> 00:01:05,760

offer for sale okay hold on I stop you

21

00:01:12,590 --> 00:01:08,119

there because that's that's fascinating

22

00:01:14,149 --> 00:01:12,600

so you're saying it's possible I know

23

00:01:16,460 --> 00:01:14,159

it's all sounds crazy but this whole

24

00:01:18,620 --> 00:01:16,470

thing is pretty crazy it's possible that

25

00:01:23,090 --> 00:01:18,630

the US Navy could have been flying them

26
00:01:26,450 --> 00:01:23,100
around in 2004 on a test basis and then

27
00:01:28,460 --> 00:01:26,460
decides to patent it in 20 forget when

28
00:01:31,880 --> 00:01:28,470
these are filed 2017 initially something

29
00:01:34,399 --> 00:01:31,890
like that and and theoretically that

30
00:01:35,749 --> 00:01:34,409
would be okay the the the as long as

31
00:01:38,030 --> 00:01:35,759
they didn't make commercial use of it

32
00:01:40,880 --> 00:01:38,040
they could be okay filed for something

33
00:01:42,770 --> 00:01:40,890
years later they could potentially as

34
00:01:45,730 --> 00:01:42,780
long as all that you know pretty much

35
00:01:48,410 --> 00:01:45,740
qualified as testing it and development

36
00:01:51,469 --> 00:01:48,420
yeah they could like they could like

37
00:01:52,969 --> 00:01:51,479
through 10-15 years do you allow of

38
00:01:55,270 --> 00:01:52,979

course you've got an inherent

39

00:01:58,520 --> 00:01:55,280

contradiction because you've got the CTO

40

00:02:03,260 --> 00:01:58,530

saying we're testing this whereas in

41

00:02:05,630 --> 00:02:03,270

2004 they're actually flying it yeah no

42

00:02:08,570 --> 00:02:05,640

I said I suppose potentially they could

43

00:02:10,249 --> 00:02:08,580

have started testing in 2004 and still

44

00:02:12,559 --> 00:02:10,259

be testing and perfecting this and uh

45

00:02:13,790 --> 00:02:12,569

and it filed in then between time

46

00:02:18,230 --> 00:02:13,800

because they think

47

00:02:22,310 --> 00:02:18,240

at that point is viable then you do have

48

00:02:23,600 --> 00:02:22,320

the question of a why'd they filed a

49

00:02:28,270 --> 00:02:23,610

patent you wanted they really want to

50

00:02:31,280 --> 00:02:28,280

tell people what they were doing and B I

51
00:02:32,960 --> 00:02:31,290
didn't you know why didn't they enable

52
00:02:36,290 --> 00:02:32,970
it more so they had a stronger patent

53
00:02:38,930 --> 00:02:36,300
that they were issuing yeah so let's

54
00:02:40,910 --> 00:02:38,940
touch on that first question what what

55
00:02:43,400 --> 00:02:40,920
is your thinking regarding the fact that

56
00:02:45,670 --> 00:02:43,410
the Navy disclosed was willing to openly

57
00:02:49,480 --> 00:02:45,680
disclose all this in this patent and

58
00:02:52,690 --> 00:02:49,490
isn't it correct that you can file a

59
00:02:56,510 --> 00:02:52,700
patent under some type of

60
00:03:00,080 --> 00:02:56,520
confidentiality so that it's not seen by

61
00:03:03,530 --> 00:03:00,090
the public you can file the patent

62
00:03:05,600 --> 00:03:03,540
application under a non publication

63
00:03:08,630 --> 00:03:05,610

request so that the application is not

64

00:03:11,090 --> 00:03:08,640

seen eventually if the patent issues it

65

00:03:13,490 --> 00:03:11,100

gets published and it is thing you can

66

00:03:16,010 --> 00:03:13,500

potentially after issue okay I never

67

00:03:17,720 --> 00:03:16,020

knew that okay so bottom line if you

68

00:03:21,560 --> 00:03:17,730

want the thing issued it's going public

69

00:03:24,380 --> 00:03:21,570

at that point yes and sorry additionally

70

00:03:29,600 --> 00:03:24,390

do we know did they apply initially to

71

00:03:31,910 --> 00:03:29,610

keep this protected I did not see that

72

00:03:33,560 --> 00:03:31,920

in there but I did not directly look at

73

00:03:35,960 --> 00:03:33,570

the process teaching history to see if

74

00:03:39,590 --> 00:03:35,970

they requested non-publication okay so

75

00:03:42,320 --> 00:03:39,600

now I know that that would standardly be

76

00:03:44,150 --> 00:03:42,330

granted and it was published as an

77

00:03:46,460 --> 00:03:44,160

application so it does not appear that

78

00:03:50,720 --> 00:03:46,470

they requested it no that's kind of

79

00:03:53,180 --> 00:03:50,730

weird right yeah so I mean and the whole

80

00:03:55,550 --> 00:03:53,190

thing could be you know more or less a

81

00:03:56,810 --> 00:03:55,560

red herring to redirect people's

82

00:04:01,010 --> 00:03:56,820

attention here while they're doing

83

00:04:12,680 --> 00:04:01,020

something else yeah it has is the

84

00:04:17,210 --> 00:04:12,690

government known to do that they do what

85

00:04:22,039 --> 00:04:17,220

they think again I mean and if that is

86

00:04:27,030 --> 00:04:22,049

going on might that also be an

87

00:04:30,600 --> 00:04:27,040

explanation for how this patent examiner

88

00:04:34,440 --> 00:04:30,610

working for the US Patent Office changes

89

00:04:38,490 --> 00:04:34,450

direction in response to a letter from

90

00:04:40,710 --> 00:04:38,500

the CTO from the Navy where the the

91

00:04:42,690 --> 00:04:40,720

letter really didn't provide any strong

92

00:04:44,970 --> 00:04:42,700

basis for for granting it beyond what

93

00:04:51,000 --> 00:04:44,980

was already submitted yet he turns

94

00:04:55,700 --> 00:04:51,010

around it could be I think from this

95

00:04:57,870 --> 00:04:55,710

from the examiner's standpoint I mean a

96

00:04:59,910 --> 00:04:57,880

he may not want to get in bed with

97

00:05:05,520 --> 00:04:59,920

somebody higher up on the government

98

00:05:08,120 --> 00:05:05,530

food chain and he is B if the government

99

00:05:12,300 --> 00:05:08,130

really wants the patent the issue and

100

00:05:14,460 --> 00:05:12,310

you know from his standpoint if it's not

101

00:05:16,460 --> 00:05:14,470

enabled it's probably never really a

102

00:05:19,620 --> 00:05:16,470

problem because nobody's ever going to

103

00:05:21,840 --> 00:05:19,630

do anything under that under the patent

104

00:05:24,690 --> 00:05:21,850

that's going to cause the patent to be

105

00:05:26,520 --> 00:05:24,700

litigated or anything no yes if nobody

106

00:05:28,890 --> 00:05:26,530

can make it can actually make the

107

00:05:30,590 --> 00:05:28,900

invention then nobody can get sued for

108

00:05:33,300 --> 00:05:30,600

infringement it never becomes an issue

109

00:05:37,500 --> 00:05:33,310

yeah I mean it certainly seems like if

110

00:05:42,990 --> 00:05:37,510

it was Joe Schmo creating this in his

111

00:05:45,180 --> 00:05:43,000

garage versus the US Navy backed by a

112

00:05:47,160 --> 00:05:45,190

letter from the chief technology officer

113

00:05:50,640 --> 00:05:47,170

of the Navy talking about the national

114

00:05:52,380 --> 00:05:50,650

security needs of this visa V China that

115

00:05:53,490 --> 00:05:52,390

the Patent Office is going to treat one